RECTAUMIANIE CORV

SRCRET

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY SOURCES METHODS EXEMPTION 3B2B NAZIWAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT DATE 2007

CONTACT REPORT

| • • | _ | | | | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Case Officer Pseudo | | Time of Meeting | 1600 to 1700 | | | | | |
| Case Officer Alias | | Date of Report | 1 February 1962 | | | | | |
| Date of Meeting | 24 January 1962 | Agent Cryptonym | | | | | | |
| Meeting Place | CARAVEL's office | Agent Alias | CARAVEL None | | | | | |
| This meeting was arranged by [] and CARAVEL. We arrived at CAMEN and proceeded to CARAVEL's office. [] and CARAVEL were waiting there for us. [] will meet[] on 31 January at 1600 in the latter's office. [] Operational: A. After introductions were made we all began a discussion of the name trace problem. We deferred to CARAVEL's and [] suggestion because they were more familiar with their own filing problems, etc., and had some positive ideas on the subject. In general, they suggested that a standard form idrawn up keyed against their filing system and that we submit the request for name traces on this form. We discussed the format of the form and came up with the following: name, DPOB, previous residence, occupation, family situation, police record and whatever else is applicable. [] said that he would have this form drawn up and would have 1,000 copies rum off to be picked up by [] the following week. He suggested that these forms be filled out by us and on a German typewriter and be | | | | | | | | |
| channel and each na a 201 number. He according to the al | or further action. He same submitted to them by also assured us that if the bove description, there we were our requests. | us would be given the | eir equivalent of | | | | | |

- J. We then reviewed what would actually happen to each name trace request. They would first check the regular files in CAMEN to see if the man had a police record. They would then go to the local police (wherever the man happened to last reside) to see if the latter had any information on him. If the local police had no record of the man, they were to run a regular Einwohnermeldeamt check. If all of the above measures fail, the name will be presented on CAMEN's Ermittelungsliste which is circulated to all local CAMEN offices. This is not the Fahndungsbuch but a normal investigative list.
- C. They emphasized that they would like to keep a record of all traces submitted by us for the following reason. If they, for example, requested information from Hamburg and got a negative reply and then suddenly six months later Hamburg had something positive to report on this man, for example, that he was found floating facedown in the Alster, they would, of course, pass this information back to CAMEN. If CAMEN had no record of the initiator of the original request, this could prove highly embarrassing. Hence, they suggested that they be allowed to assign a 201 number to the file and keep a record of all requests to cover such contingencies.

We then mentioned the volume of the requests that they would be able to service. They suggested for the time being that we keep the requests down to about fifty per month until we see how the system works.

D. As an example of what/preliminary check of their police files would reveal, they gave us the following information: a week previously CARAVEL three names to be traced out, more or less as a trial run. (These two people had answered our newspaper ad, but had since moved from the address which they had given us; hence, we could not find them.) The following information was developed on L lived on Eschenheimerlandstrasse as of 1960, married, divorced 1950 from who lived on Theodorkoelnerstrasse 6 in Ruesselsheim. He was convicted of fraud 9 December 1950, convicted of a sexual offense (no details) on 17 July 1960, and was fingerprinted. was very proud of the fact that such complete information was available on one of the test name traces. He pointed out that this information would probably be of value to us and would give us a general idea of what information they could provide. This information was of value, since we had planned to contact develop him as a CABATON candidate. Communications:

| We agreed that our meetings should for the most part be personal meetings. |
|--|
| |
| the results. This will probably turn out to be about once a week. |
| said that he could be reached at If he is not |
| there personally, an innocuous message may be left with the secretary, |
| can get in touch with Dby dialing the military |
| number 5500, extension 6019 and asking for We both agreed that |
| the telephone conversations should be avoided unless necessary. |
| |

Personal:

| \subseteq | вееп | ed very f | riendly | and no pr | coblems a | re anticipa | ted. He seemed |
|-------------|--------|-----------|---------|-----------|-------------|-------------|------------------|
| ~ | ~ | • | - | | | | of the con- |
| • | | | | | | _ | n about three |
| • | | | | • | | even months | . I CARAVEL also |
| mentioned | that _ | ⊒ is | noted a | s being t | the <u></u> | | |